

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

James Wesley Jackson,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Civil Action No.: 0:08-cv-03733-TLW-PJG
)	
Cindy Crick Smith, Richard H. Welchel,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

ORDER

On November 10, 2008, the plaintiff, James Wesley Jackson (“plaintiff”), proceeding *pro se*, filed this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. #1). The case was referred to Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(c), DSC.

This matter now comes before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by the Magistrate Judge to whom this case had previously been assigned. (Doc. #10). On December 12, 2008 the Magistrate Judge issued the Report. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the complaint in this action be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. (Doc. #10). The plaintiff filed no objections to the report. Objections were due on January 2, 2009.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. §

636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED**. (Doc. #10).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten
United States District Judge

February 19, 2009
Florence, South Carolina